

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,194	07/15/2003	Ford B. Grigg	108298637US1 2349 EXAMINER	
25096	7590 10/28/2005			
PERKINS COIE LLP			ANDUJAR, LEONARDO	
PATENT-SEA P.O. BOX 124			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247			2826	
			DATE MAILED: 10/28/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	J.	ux	
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/621,194	GRIGG, FORD B.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leonardo Andújar	2826	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	L !ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		•	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the followances the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nature and Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date. 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in case with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be of the final rejection.	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 706.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further c (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); etter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	allowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(nils to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	intry is below or attac	ned.

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments filed on 10/03/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's claims 38-41 and 45 do not distinguish over Nakata in view of Shoji, claim 42 does not distinguish over Nakata in view of Shoji further in view of Iwasaki; claim 43 does not distinguish over Nakata in view of Shoji further in view of Iwasaki further in view of Imai, and claim 44 does not distinguish over Nakata in view of Shoji further in view of Imai as the reasons set forth in the final rejection sent on 06/03/2005. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	_
42 Char	

13. Other: ____.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20051018